

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,701	07/26/2001	Boon-Siew Ooi	021040-000800US	9267
20350	7590 06/18/2002			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			MULPURI, SAVITRI	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	2
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/916,701

Applicant(s)

Ooi et al

Examiner

Savitri Mulpuri

Art Unit **2812**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 26, 20	202 .			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
_	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-47	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🗔	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 💢	Claims 1-47 (should be 1-48, see 37 is repeated)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•			
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🗆		is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
FUOTILL	นแนย วัง บ.จ.ษ. รร 113 ชแน 120				
13)□	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) □	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
:	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures				
*Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.			
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachme					
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) omation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
31 min	Amation Disclosure Statement(s) (F10-1445) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:			

Art Unit:

RESTRICTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1,2, 4-42, 44, drawn to process, classified in class 438, subclass 22.
 - II. Claims 3,43,45,46, drawn to product-by-process, classified in class 257, subclass 14.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be processed by a materially different process such by heat treatment alone with no implantation if one of the elements in the compound semiconductor layer has high diffusion coefficient to result interdiffusion to the adjacent compound semiconductor layer. A product-by-process claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17(footnote). See also Fessmann, 1173 USPQ 685; In re Luck 177 USPO523: In re Fessmann 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161: In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90; In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289 and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is patentability of the final product per se which must be determined by "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that old and product produced by new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product-by-process"

Application/Control Number: 09/916701

Art Unit:

claims are not. Not e that applicant has a burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw

makes clear.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to S. Mulpuri, whose phone number is (703) 305-5184

S. Mulpur

Page 3

SM

June 15, 2002